



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

 Commissioner for Patents
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 P.O. Box 1480

 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

POK/KOHS

MAY 10 2011

RECEIVED

SEP 23 2010

USPTO

Event	PETITION GRANTED
Deadline	/
Entered MAILED	12-24/09/10

Robert D. Shadd, Patent Operations
 THOMSON Licensing LLC
 P.O. Box 5312
 Princeton NJ 08543-5312

SEP 20 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION ON PETITION

In re Application of
 Fabienne Coez et al.
 Application No. 09/719,182
 Filed: February 15, 2001
 Attorney Docket No. PF980036

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 18, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Paul P. Kiel appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and trademark Office that petitioner is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts. If, Mr. Kiel desires to be acknowledged as the attorney of record regarding this file, the appropriate power of attorney documents must be submitted.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely file a reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action of January 28, 2010. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, a Request for Continued Examination and submission (37 CFR 1.114), or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). No extensions of time pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the date of abandonment of this application is July 29, 2010.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee of \$810, and the submission required by 37 CFR 1.114; (2) the petition fee of \$1,620; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.


Application No. 09/719,102

Page 2

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-4584.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2621 for processing of the RCE and for appropriate action by the Examiner in the normal course of business on the amendment submitted in accordance with 37 CFR 1.114.



JoAnne Burke
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions